

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,685		03/25/2002	David Horne	DYOUP218US	7427	
26710	7590	02/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•		ADY LLP I AVENUE	JACKSON, ANDRE L			
SUITE 20		AVENUE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAU	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497					
				DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Advisory Action	09/889,685	HORNE ET AL.				
Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andre' L. Jackson	3677				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under							
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>							
٠,	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)	they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	is.			
	NOTE: <u>See 10 Below</u> .						
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• •					
4.⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>13-15</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-15</u> .						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet							
Anthony Knight							
		Anthol	atent Examiner				
		Supervisory	m 3600				

Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: The new issue that would require further consideration is applicant's amendment to claim 1. In particular, applicant recites in line 6, "...at which the spacing member is seated in the corner..." and in line 9, "...while unseating the spacing member from the corner," The amendment to change one element in view of another element (the hinge arm to the spacing member) was not required by the prior art relied upon to anticipate applicant's claim(s).